

1 JACK P. DICANIO (SBN 138782)
Jack.DiCanio@skadden.com
2 EMILY REITMEIER (SBN 305512)
Emily.Reitmeier@skadden.com
3 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
525 University Avenue
4 Palo Alto, California 94301
Telephone: (650) 470-4500
5 Facsimile: (650) 470-4570

6 MATTHEW E. SLOAN (SBN 165165)
Matthew.Sloan@skadden.com
7 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400
8 Los Angeles, California 90071-3144
Telephone: (213) 687-5000
9 Facsimile: (213) 687-5600

10 *Attorneys for Defendant*
FUJIAN JINHUA INTEGRATED CIRCUIT CO., LTD.
11

12 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

13 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 UNITED MICROELECTRONICS
19 CORPORATION, *et al.*,

20 Defendants.

CASE NO.: 3:18-cr-00465-MMC

**DEFENDANT FUJIAN JINHUA
INTEGRATED CIRCUIT CO., LTD.'S
PROPOSED VERDICT FORM**

Judge: The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
Trial Date: February 14, 2022

Defendant Fujian Jinhua Integrated Circuit Co., Ltd. (“Jinhua”) respectfully submits the attached proposed verdict form for use by the jury in its consideration of the charges in the Indictment. Jinhua reserves its right to submit an amended proposed verdict form at the close of trial, including submitting a special verdict form.

Dated: January 4, 2022

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP

By: /s/ Jack P. DiCanio
JACK P. DICANIO
Attorneys for Defendant
FUJIAN JINHUA INTEGRATED CIRCUIT CO., LTD.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: 3:18-cr-00465-MMC

JURY VERDICT

UNITED MICROELECTRONICS
CORPORATION, *et al.*,

Defendants.

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, unanimously find as follows:

COUNT ONE

As to COUNT ONE, did the government prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Fujian Jinhua Integrated Circuit Co., Ltd. is guilty of conspiracy to commit economic espionage in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1831(a)(5)?

YES _____ NO _____

COUNT TWO

As to COUNT TWO, did the government prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Fujian Jinhua Integrated Circuit Co., Ltd. is guilty of conspiracy to commit theft of trade secrets in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1832(a)(5)?

YES **NO**

COUNT SEVEN

As to COUNT SEVEN, did the government prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Fujian Jinhua Integrated Circuit Co., Ltd. is guilty of economic espionage (receiving and possessing trade secrets) in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1831(a)(3) and § (2)?

YES **NO**

1 THESE ARE THE UNANIMOUS VERDICTS OF THIS JURY. SO SAY WE ONE; SO SAY WE
2 ALL.

3

4 **JUROR SIGNATURES**

5

6 _____

7

8 _____

9

10 _____

11

12 _____

13

14 _____

15

16 _____

17

18 DATED: _____ Time: _____

19 San Francisco, California

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28